ALKALOIDS AND OLEFINIC ACIDS FROM CRYPTOCARYA AMYGDALINA

N. BORTHAKUR, P. K. MAHANTA* and R. C. RASTOGI

Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat 785006, Assam, India

(Revised received 14 July 1980)

Key Word Index—Cryptocarya amygdalina; Lauraceae; novel olefinic acids; benzylisoquinoline alkaloids.

Abstract—From the bark of *Cryptocarya amygdalina* two new olefinic acids with a tetracyclo(4.3.0^{2.4}.0^{3.7}) non-8-ene moiety are reported. From the same source the benzylisoquinoline alkaloids orientaline and laudanidine are also reported.

In the course of our phytochemical investigation on Lauraceae plants we isolated two new olefinic acids, cryptocaryic acid (1) and amygdalinic acid (2), in addition to two known benzylisquinoline alkaloids, (+)-orientaline (10) and laudanidine (11). The isolation and structure elucidation of 1 and 2 are reported here.

Cryptocaryic acid (1), $C_{24}H_{30}O_{2}$, M^{4} 350, mp 112°, IR (KBr) 1700 (>C=O) cm⁻¹, exhibits a UV spectrum in EtOH at 258 (4.54), 268 (4.66) and 278 (4.54) nm, respectively, for a conjugated triene system. The presence of a carboxylic acid group was confirmed by preparing the Me ester 3 [NMR δ 3.65 (s, 3H,-COOMe)]. The presence of a >CH—COOH group was also confirmed by reducing 3 with LiAlH₄ to the corresponding >CH—CH₂OH compound 4 [NMR δ 3.47 (d, 2H, J = 6-7 Hz, CH₂ of CHCH₂OH system)].

Although the hydrogenation of 1 over Pd-C or Pt₂O gave decahydrocryptocaryic acid (5), the hydrogenation of the last double bond was difficult, which suggested that out of the five double bonds of 1 one was in the ring and

remaining four were outside it. The NMR of the partially reduced compound 6 exhibited two ring olefinic protons at δ 5.3 and 5.4 respectively. The presence of a long side chain was confirmed from the high resolution mass spectrum of 5 and its Me ester 7 which gave base peaks at m/e 178 and 192, respectively, due to McLafferty rearrangement (Table 1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 showed a Me triplet at δ 1.0 (s, 3H, J=7 Hz) and a methylene quartet at 2.05 (1, 2H, J=7 Hz) for a terminal Et group. A prominent peak at m/e 121 appeared in the mass spectrum of 1 but was absent in those of 5 and 7, suggesting that this peak arises from the β -cleavage to the double bond. Therefore, from spectral data of 1 and biogenetic considerations, the triene was placed at the Et end. The position of the fourth double bond was fixed primarily from mass spectrometry, but was also supported by NMR data. It is known that if the only γ -hydrogen available is attached to a double bond, transfer of such a hydrogen and elimination of a neutral allene molecule is an unfavourable process. As in the mass

COOH

I
$$R = -CH$$

COOMe

3 $R = -CH$

CH2OH

4 $R = -CH$

COOMe

8 $R = -CH$

^{*} Present address: Department of Biochemistry, Tea Research Association, Tocklai, Jorhat 785008, Assam, India.

COOH

5
$$R = -CH - CH_2 - (CH_2)_{11} - Me$$

COOMe

7 $R = -CH - CH_2 - (CH_2)_{11} - Me$

COOH

QOOH

9 $R = -CH - CH_2 - (CH_2)_9 - Me$

spectrum of cryptocaryic acid (1) no McLafferty rearrangement was observed so that the double bond is located at the γ -carbon to the carboxylic acid group.

To accommodate four rings and a ring double bond in the C_9H_9 part of 1, we examined the Dreiding model and found that the tetracyclo($4.3.0^{2.4}.0^{3.7}$)non-8-ene [1] skeleton was free from strain, and also that the 6H methine proton which remained above the plane of the ring double bond appeared in the NMR at higher field, i.e. δ 1.26 (m. 1H).

The second olefinic acid, amygdalinic acid (2), $C_{22}H_{28}O_2$, M^+ 324, IR(KBr) 1700 (>C=O) cm⁻¹ exhibited peaks in the UV spectrum in EtOH at 258 (4.78), 269 (4.90) and 278 (4.79) nm, respectively, for a triene system. The presence of a carboxylic acid group was confirmed by preparing the corresponding Me ester 8, NMR δ 3.78 (s, 3H, COOMe). The presence of four double bonds was confirmed by hydrogenation of 2 over Pd-C in MeOH to obtain octahydroamygdalinic acid (9),

 $C_{24}H_{36}O_2$, mp 56-62°. The appearance of a m/e 117 (C_9H_9) peak in the mass spectrum of 2, as in 1, and a high field methinine proton at δ 1.35 (m, 1H) suggested a tetracyclo(4.3.0^{2.4}.0^{3.7})non-8-ene (1) moiety for 2 also. The close similarity of UV, NMR and mass spectral data of 2 with that of 1 and their isolation from the same source suggested almost identical structure of 2 with that of cryptocaryic acid (1).

It is important to observe that (+)-orientaline (10), which was isolated along with another benzylisoquinoline alkaloid, laudanidine (11), was for the first time isolated from a Lauraceae plant, the first natural source being a Menispermaceae plant [2-4]. (+)-Orientaline was identified from its mp, UV, mass spectral data and comparison of its NMR with that of its O,O-diacetyl derivative 12. Compound 11 was identified from its mp, UV, mass spectrum and comparison of IR spectrum with that of an authentic sample.

Table 1. High resolution mass fragmentation of 5 and 7

Compound	MS peak for m/e (rel. int.)							
	McLafferty (a)	M^+-CO_2R	$M^+ - C_{10}H_{20}$	M ⁺ - C ₁₁ H ₂₃	$ \begin{array}{c} (a) - CO_2R \\ (b) \end{array} $	(b) - 2H	(c)	
5, C ₂₄ H ₄₀ O ₂ M+360.3008 (18)	178.0990 (100)	315.3037 (13)	220.1432 (10)	205.1235 (10)	133.1004 (47)	131.0849 (15)	119	
7, C ₂₅ H ₄₂ O ₂ M ⁺ 374.3153 (27)	192.1148 (100)	315.2994 (37)	234.1579 (11)	_	133.1003 (68)	131.0855	119	

Table 2. ¹H NMR data of compounds 1 and 2

		1	2		
Protons	Signal position (δ)	Splitting, J, No. of protons	Signal position (δ)	Splitting, J, No. of protons	
Dlefinic	6.37.3	m, 10H	5.66.4	m, 8H	
l'CH	3.0	s, 1H	3.07	m, 1H	
7CH	3.0	s, 1H	2.87	d, 4 Hz, 1H	
CH CH	2.52	m, 2H	2.54-2.77	m, 2H	
CH, 3H, 4H and 5CH,	1.42-1.73	br. m, 5H	1.54-1.86	brm, 5H	
H	1.26	m, 1H	1.35	m, 1H	
'CH, 6'CH,	1.9-2.38	m, 4H	_	_	
1'CH ₂ , 3'CH ₂ and 4'CH ₂	_	_	2.0-2.43	m, 6H	
3'CH ₂	2.05	q, 7 Hz, 2H	_		
4′Me [*]	1.0	t, 7 Hz, 3H	_	_	
2′Me	and the second s	<u> </u>	1.03	t, 7 Hz, 3H	

EXPERIMENTAL

Olefinic acids. Air-dried, crushed bark (collected from the Sibsagar district of Assam) of C. amygdalina (500 g) was extracted with petrol (40–60°) for 96 hr, concd and kept overnight at 0° to obtain pale yellow flakes of 1 (200 mg) which was further recrystallized from petrol (40–60°). Mp 112°; MS: M $^+$ 350, m/e (321, 268, 121, 117, 93, 91, etc.); UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EIOH}$ (log ε) nm: 258 (4.54), 268 (4.66) and 278 (4.54); IR(KBr) cm $^{-1}$: 1700 (>C=O); 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): see Table 2.

After separation, 180 mg of 2 separated out from the mother liquor, which was further crystallized from petrol (40-60°). Mp 115°; MS: M⁺ 324, m/e (264, 131, 121, 117, 93, 91, etc.); UV λ_{max}^{EiOH} (log ε) nm: 258 (4.78), 269 (4.90) and 278 (4.79); IR(KBr) cm⁻¹: 1700 (>C=O); ¹H NMR: see Table 2. Preparation of 3.50 mg of 1 was treated 18 hr with CH₂N₂-Et₂O to obtain 40 mg of 3. ¹H NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): δ 1.01 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, Me of MeCH₂), 3.65 (s, 3H, COOMe), 5.5-6.1 (m, 10H, olefinic protons) along with methylene and methine protons between 1.3-3.0. Preparation of 4. 30 mg of 3 was reduced with LiAlH₄ in dry Et₂O to obtain ca 20 mg of 4. ¹H NMR (CCl₄): δ 1.0 (t, 3H, J=7 Hz, Me of MeCH₂), 2.07 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, CH₂) of MeCH₂), 3.47 (d, d, d)2H, J = 6-7 Hz, CH₂ of R-CH-CH₂OH), 5.3-6.05 (m, 10H, olefinic protons) along with other aliphatic protons between 2.7 and 1.2. Preparation of 5. 100 mg of 1 was hydrogenated over Pd/C in EtOH to obtain 48 mg of 5. Mp 61-62°; MS: M⁺ 360 (Table 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 0.8-2.5 broad envelope of protons. Preparation of 7. 30 mg 3 was hydrogenated over Pt_2O in MeOH to obtain 26 mg of 7. MS: M⁺ 374 (Table 1); ¹H NMR: δ 3.73 (s, 3H, —COOMe). Preparation of 8. 45 mg of 2 treated with CH_2N_2 — Et_2O yielded 18 mg of 8. ¹H NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): δ 1·05 (t, 3H, J=7 Hz, Me of MeCH₂), 1.32 (s, 1H, methine proton above the plane of a double bond), 2.17 (q, 2H, J=7 Hz, CH_2 of MeCH₂), 2.85 (d, J=3 Hz), 3.05 (t, J=4 Hz, 1H, methine proton of CH-COOH), 3.78 (s, 3H, —COOMe), 6.0–6.3 (m, 8H, olefinic protons) and other aliphatic protons between 2.7 and 1.5. Preparation of 9. 80 mg of 2 was hydrogenated over Pd/C in 10 ml EtOH at ambient pres. and temp. to obtain 9. Mp 58–62° (Found: C=79%, C=10.2%), C=10.2%0. The NMR (CDCl₃) a broad envelope of peaks between δ 0.9 and 2.6.

Alkaloids. The above de-fatted bark was worked up for phenolic tertiary N compounds to obtain 260 mg crude phenolic alkaloid which was passed through a neutral Al_2O_3 column and eluted with petrol, C_6H_6 , EtOAC and MeOH in different proportions. From MeOH-EtOAc (1:9) 40 mg crude (on TLC) along with 7 mg pure (TLC one spot) 10 was obtained. Mp $80-87^\circ$; MS m/e (rel. int.): 192 (100), 177 (35) and 137; IR(KBr) cm⁻¹: 3500-3400 for —OH band; UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EtOH}$ nm: 283 (3.7).

Ontical rotation

$$[\alpha]^{25} = (+) \frac{589 \quad 578 \quad 546 \quad 436}{69^{\circ} \quad 75^{\circ} \quad 87^{\circ} \quad 95^{\circ}} (c = 0.2, \text{CHCl}_3).$$

Table 3. ¹H NMR data of compounds 10 and 12

Compound	Position of protons (δ)							
	5	8	2	3	6	N Me	O Me	OAc
10 in CDCl ₃	6.55 (s)	6.36 (s)	6.742 d, $J = 1-2 Hz$	6.61 dd, J = 1-2 and 7-8 Hz	6.74d, $J = 7-8 Hz$	2.50 (s)	3.86 (s) and 3.87 (s)	_
12 in CCL ₄	6.41 (s)	6.66 (s)	6.74 d, $J = 1-2 Hz$	6.92 dd, J = 1.2 and 7-8 Hz	6.825 d, $J = 7-8 Hz$	2.56 (s)	3.80 (s) and 3.80 (s)	2.27 (s) and 2.30 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 270 MHz): see Table 3. After separation of 10 all the fractions from the column were collected and subjected to repeated prep. TLC on Si gel in MeOH-CHCl₃ (3:17) to obtain 4 mg of 11. Mp 179–180°; MS: M⁺ 345 for C₁₉H₂₅NO₄, m/e 206 (100), UV λ_{max}^{EIOH} nm: 282. Preparation of 12. 35 mg of crude 10 was treated 18 hr with Ac₂O-pyridine, the product passed through a neutral Al₂O₃ column and 18 mg O, O-diacetate of 10 (or 12) was collected from the EtOAc eluent. MS m/e (rel. int.): 234 (100), 192, 177 and 137. UV λ_{max}^{EIOH} nm: 230 and 277. IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: two OAc bands at 1765 and 1740 respectively.

Acknowledgements—We are grateful to Prof. F. Bohlmann for helpful suggestions and recording the high resolution MS, 100

and 270 MHz 1 H NMR spectra and optical rotation of 10 and the Director, RRL, Jorhat, for his interest in this work.

REFERENCES

- Freeman, P. K., Balls, D. M. and Blazevich, J. N. (1970) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 205.
- 2. Freeman, P. K., and Balls, D. M. (1967) J. Org. Chem. 32, 2354.
- 3. Fukuda, F. (1953) Pharm. Bull. (Jpn.) 1, 189.
- 4. Tomita, M. and Yamaguchi, H. (1965) Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo) 4, 225